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## Key Debt Components

## G.O. Debt and Forbearance Liability

- In 1997, the City issued non-callable General Obligation debt to refund other outstanding bonds
- Bonds insured by Ambac Assurance Corp, mature in 2022
- In 2012, the City defaulted on the Bonds and Ambac agreed to make scheduled principal and interest payments on the Bonds
- The City incurred a forbearance debt that is now about $\$ 26.2$ million and accrues interest at $6.75 \%$
G.O. Guarantee - Harrisburg Redevelopment Authority, Series 2005 A-2 Bonds
- The City provides a guarantee on non-callable taxable bonds issued by the HRA in 2005 to renovate the minor league baseball stadium
- Bonds insured by Ambac, mature in 2030
$-\$ 5,844,152$ of principal and interest payment will remain on the bonds after the November 15, 2021, debt service payment


## Ambac Agreement Summary

- The City and Ambac reached an agreement that provides principal and temporary interest rate reductions in its outstanding forbearance liability in exchange for the defeasance of the outstanding Harrisburg Redevelopment Authority Series 2005A-2 Bonds (Stadium Bonds) by December 31, 2021
- The agreement also provides for additional discounts on the forbearance liability for prepayments up to $\$ 4$ million by December 31, 2021
- Maximizing the benefit of the agreement would result in an immediate $\$ 3,288,900$ discount on the liability, plus interest savings from a rate reduction from $6.75 \%$ to $5 \%$
- After these prepayments, the City would have the option (but not obligation) to refinance the remaining forbearance liability and/or paydown with available cash


## Alternative Strategy

- There is an alternative strategy that suggests that the City leave the Stadium Bonds outstanding, forgo the benefits of the Ambac agreement, and instead repay the forbearance liability with fund balance proceeds over the course of one to three years (or maybe more)
- Projections of several modeled scenarios show that this strategy would deplete the City's existing fund balance well below the target level outlined in the FiveYear Plan ${ }^{1}$ and fail to maintain reserves sufficient to meet other potentially critical capital needs of the City over the next five years
- Projections show a negative fund balance, which is inconsistent with the goals of the Five-Year Plan and, short of new funding sources or reduced expenses, is infeasible
- The alternative strategy is more expensive on a present value basis, even under conservative assumptions

|  | Existing | Scenario 1 | Scenario 2 | Scenario 3 | Scenario 4 | Scenario 5 | Scenario 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No Ambac Agreement Benefits |  |  | Maximize Ambac Agreement Benefits |  |  |
|  |  | Repay w/Cash One Year | Repay w/Cash Three Years | Repay w/Cash Five Years | Repay w/Cash Five Years | Hybrid <br> 4.80\% Refi | Hybrid $3.36 \% \text { Refi }$ |
| Gross Outflows ${ }^{1}$ | 44,086,549 | 31,882,667 | 33,223,458 | 34,710,907 | 30,756,439 | 34,995,480 | 32,794,020 |
| PV Outflows (3.36\%) ${ }^{1}$ | 36,005,721 | 31,177,810 | 31,458,215 | 32,248,114 | 28,844,583 | 30,142,724 | 28,327,234 |
| Projected Fund Balance ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YE 2021 |  | (1,704,740) | 19,680,438 | 16,000,438 | 16,000,438 | 16,000,438 | 16,000,438 |
| YE 2022 |  | $(7,756,599)$ | 1,128,579 | 7,448,579 | 8,102,284 | 10,115,444 | 10,322,078 |
| YE 2023 |  | $(4,098,107)$ | $(5,438,898)$ | 4,218,165 | 5,523,215 | 11,865,601 | 12,268,064 |
| YE 2024 |  | $(766,965)$ | (2,107,756) | 2,660,401 | 4,613,396 | 13,284,928 | 13,882,207 |
| YE 2025 |  | 1,566,541 | 225,749 | $(1,261,701)$ | 96,648 | 13,705,928 | 14,502,561 |
| Fund Balance Target ${ }^{3}$ |  | \$11,900,000 | \$11,900,000 | \$11,900,000 | \$11,900,000 | \$11,900,000 | \$11,900,000 |

[^0]${ }^{2}$ Projected fund balance based on revenue and expense assumptions in the 2021 Adopted Five-Year Plan, adjusted for the following: 1) annual debt service expenses based on the assumptions in each scenario; 2) mid-year 2021 results and revised 2021 projections; 3) beginning 2021 fund balance is net of encumbrances of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million, which are assumed to carryover on a rolling basis ${ }^{3}$ Equal to approximately two months of operating expenses

- Proceeding with the refinancing approach outlined in the Five-Year Plan is the best way for the City to achieve its fiscal goals
- Maximize benefits of the Ambac Agreement before December 31, 2021 deadline
- Take necessary steps to put City in position to execute a refinancing
- Determine optimal mix a refinancing proceeds and fund balance to address remaining Ambac forbearance liability
- Develop a plan of finance which will depend on factors such as the City's ability to maintain target fund balance levels, the outcome of the credit rating agency process, and market conditions

Appendix

This analysis includes six modeled scenarios:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Scenario } 1}{\text { Repay Ambac }} \\ & 1 \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Scenario 2 }}{\text { Repay Ambac }} \\ & 3 \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Scenario } 3}{\text { Repay Ambac }} \\ & 5 \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \underline{\text { Scenario } 4} \\ \text { Repay Ambac } \\ 5 \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\text { Scenario } 5}{\text { Hybrid }} \\ \text { (Higher Int Rate) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Scenario } 6}{\text { Hybrid }} \\ & \text { (Lower Int rate) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ambac <br> Agreement | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Forbearance <br> Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12/15/21 | \$26,685,178 | \$5,300,000 | \$9,980,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| 2022 | - | \$12,500,000 | \$2,500,000 | \$2,500,000 | - | - |
| 2023 | - | \$10,225,969 | \$6,888,906 | \$6,888,906 | - | - |
| 2024 | - | - | \$4,888,906 | \$4,888,906 | - | - |
| 2025 | - | - | \$6,255,607 | \$7,498,628 | - | - |
| Cash Defease <br> Stadium Bonds | NA | NA | NA | Approx. <br> \$4,980,000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approx. } \\ \$ 4,980,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approx. } \\ & \$ 4,980,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Refinance Rate | NA | NA | NA | NA | $4.80 \%^{1}$ | $3.36 \%^{2}$ |
| PV Disc. Rate ${ }^{3}$ | 3.36\% | 3.36\% | 3.36\% | 3.36\% | 3.36\% | 3.36\% |

[^1]
## Projection Assumptions

Projected fund balance based on revenue and expense assumptions in the 2021 Adopted FiveYear Plan, adjusted for the following:

1) annual debt service expenses based on the assumptions in each scenario;
2) mid-year 2021 results and revised 2021 projections;
3) beginning 2021 fund balance is net of encumbrances of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million, which are assumed to carryover on a rolling basis.

## Scenario 1 - Paydown Ambac Liability in One Year (no Ambac discounts)

| Year | Forbearance | Series <br> 2005 A-2 | Aggregate | PV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | $26,685,178$ | - | $26,685,178$ | $26,685,178$ |
| 2022 | - | 653,705 | 653,705 | 632,455 |
| 2023 | - | 651,345 | 651,345 | 609,686 |
| 2024 | - | 647,945 | 647,945 | 586,787 |
| 2025 | - | 648,375 | 648,375 | 568,089 |
| 2026 | - | 647,276 | 647,276 | 548,690 |
| 2027 | - | 649,503 | 649,503 | 532,680 |
| 2028 | - | 650,144 | 650,144 | 515,872 |
| 2029 | - | 649,197 | 649,197 | 498,375 |
| $2030^{1}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 2031 | - | - | - | - |
| 2032 | - | - | - | - |
|  | $26,685,178$ | $5,197,489$ | $31,882,667$ | $31,177,810$ |


|  | Proj 2021 | Proj 2022 | Proj 2023 | Proj 2024 | Proj 2025 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $66,476,063$ | $65,358,263$ | $68,076,053$ | $68,711,161$ | $69,218,608$ |
| Expenses | $71,026,945$ | $71,410,122$ | $64,417,562$ | $65,380,019$ | $66,885,102$ |
| Cash Surplus/Deficit | $(4,550,882)$ | $(6,051,859)$ | $3,658,492$ | $3,331,142$ | $2,333,506$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ambac Repay | $26,685,178$ |  |  |  |  |
| Series 2005A2 Defease |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $26,685,178$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $(7,098,107)$ |
| Beg Fund Balance | $29,531,320$ | $(1,704,740)$ | $(7,756,599)$ | $(766,965)$ | $1,566,541$ |
| End Fund Balance | $(1,704,740)$ | $(7,756,599)$ | $(4,098,107)$ | 10 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Assumes Stadium Bond Debt Service Reserve Fund is released resulting in no Net Debt Service in 2030

## Scenario 2 - Paydown Ambac Liability in Three Years (no Ambac discounts)

| Year | Forbearance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Series } \\ 2005 \mathrm{~A}-2 \end{gathered}$ | Aggregate | PV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | 5,300,000 | - | 5,300,000 | 5,300,000 |
| 2022 | 12,500,000 | 653,705 | 13,153,705 | 12,726,108 |
| 2023 | 10,225,969 | 651,345 | 10,877,314 | 10,181,615 |
| 2024 | - | 647,945 | 647,945 | 586,787 |
| 2025 | - | 648,375 | 648,375 | 568,089 |
| 2026 | - | 647,276 | 647,276 | 548,690 |
| 2027 | - | 649,503 | 649,503 | 532,680 |
| 2028 | - | 650,144 | 650,144 | 515,872 |
| 2029 | - | 649,197 | 649,197 | 498,375 |
| $2030^{1}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 2031 | - | - | - | - |
| 2032 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 28,025,969 | 5,197,489 | 33,223,458 | 31,458,215 |


|  | Proj 2021 | Proj 2022 | Proj 2023 | Proj 2024 | Proj 2025 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $66,476,063$ | $65,358,263$ | $68,076,053$ | $68,711,161$ | $69,218,608$ |  |
| Expenses | $71,026,945$ | $71,410,122$ | $64,417,562$ | $65,380,019$ | $66,885,102$ |  |
| Cash Surplus/Deficit | $(4,550,882)$ | $(6,051,859)$ | $3,658,492$ | $3,331,142$ | $2,333,506$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ambac Repay | $5,300,000$ | $12,500,000$ | $10,225,969$ |  |  |  |
| Series 2005A2 Defease |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $5,300,000$ | $12,500,000$ | $10,225,969$ |  | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg Fund Balance | $29,531,320$ | $19,680,438$ | $1,128,579$ | $(5,438,898)$ | $(2,107,756)$ |  |
| End Fund Balance | $19,680,438$ | $1,128,579$ | $(5,438,898)$ | $(2,107,756)$ | 225,749 |  |

[^2]
# Scenario 3 - Paydown Ambac Liability in Five Years 

 (no Ambac discounts)

## Scenario 4 - Paydown Ambac Liability in Five Years (Ambac discounts)

| Year | Forbearance | Series <br> 2005 A-2 |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Aggregate | PV |  |  |  |
| 2021 | $4,000,000$ | $4,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ |
| 2022 | $2,500,000$ | - | $2,500,000$ | $2,418,731$ |
| 2023 | $6,888,906$ | - | $6,888,906$ | $6,448,300$ |
| 2024 | $4,888,906$ | - | $4,888,906$ | $4,427,455$ |
| 2025 | $7,498,628$ | - | $7,498,628$ | $6,570,097$ |
| 2026 | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | - | - |
| 2028 | - | - | - | - |
| 2029 | - | - | - | - |
| $2030^{1}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 2031 | - | - | - | - |
| 2032 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | $25,776,439$ | $4,980,000$ | $30,756,439$ | $28,844,583$ |


|  | Proj 2021 | Proj 2022 | Proj 2023 | Proj 2024 | Proj 2025 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $66,476,063$ | $65,358,263$ | $68,076,053$ | $68,711,161$ | $69,218,608$ |
| Expenses | $71,026,945$ | $70,756,417$ | $63,766,217$ | $64,732,074$ | $66,236,727$ |
| Cash Surplus/Deficit | $(4,550,882)$ | $(5,398,154)$ | $4,309,837$ | $3,979,087$ | $2,981,881$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ambac Repay | $4,000,000$ | $2,500,000$ | $6,888,906$ | $4,888,906$ | $7,498,628$ |
| Series 2005A2 Defease | $4,980,000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $8,980,000$ | $2,500,000$ | $6,888,906$ | $4,888,906$ | $7,498,628$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg Fund Balance | $29,531,320$ | $16,000,438$ | $8,102,284$ | $5,523,215$ | $4,613,396$ |
| End Fund Balance | $16,000,438$ | $8,102,284$ | $5,523,215$ | $4,613,396$ | 96,648 |

## Scenario 5 - Hybrid, "Higher Rate" (4.80\%) Conservative

 (Ambac discounts)|  |  |  | Series |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Forbearance | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ A-2 | Aggregate | PV |
| 2021 | $4,000,000$ | $4,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ |
| 2022 | 486,840 | - | 486,840 | 471,014 |
| 2023 | $2,559,680$ | - | $2,559,680$ | $2,395,966$ |
| 2024 | $2,559,760$ | - | $2,559,760$ | $2,318,151$ |
| 2025 | $2,560,880$ | - | $2,560,880$ | $2,243,775$ |
| 2026 | $2,557,920$ | - | $2,557,920$ | $2,168,325$ |
| 2027 | $2,555,760$ | - | $2,555,760$ | $2,096,067$ |
| 2028 | $2,554,160$ | - | $2,554,160$ | $2,026,659$ |
| 2029 | $2,548,000$ | - | $2,548,000$ | $1,956,048$ |
| $2030^{1}$ | $2,547,040$ | - | $2,547,040$ | $1,891,748$ |
| 2031 | $2,545,920$ | - | $2,545,920$ | $1,829,447$ |
| 2032 | $2,539,520$ | - | $2,539,520$ | $1,765,526$ |
| Total | $30,015,480$ | $4,980,000$ | $34,995,480$ | $30,142,724$ |


|  | Proj 2021 | Proj 2022 | Proj 2023 | Proj 2024 | Proj 2025 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $66,476,063$ | $65,358,263$ | $68,076,053$ | $68,711,161$ | $69,218,608$ |  |
| Expenses | $71,026,945$ | $71,243,257$ | $66,325,897$ | $67,291,834$ | $68,797,607$ |  |
| Cash Surplus/Deficit | $(4,550,882)$ | $(5,884,994)$ | $1,750,157$ | $1,419,327$ | 421,001 |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Ambac Repay | $4,000,000$ | - |  |  |  |  |
| Series 2005A2 Defease ${ }^{1}$ | $4,980,000$ |  | - |  |  |  |
| Subtotal | $8,980,000$ |  | - |  |  |  |
| Beg Fund Balance | $29,531,320$ | $16,000,438$ | $10,115,444$ | $11,865,601$ | $13,284,928$ |  |
| End Fund Balance | $16,000,438$ | $10,115,444$ | $11,865,601$ | $13,284,928$ | $13,705,928$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ Assumes Stadium Bond Debt Service Reserve Fund is released resulting in no Net Debt Service in 2030

## Scenario 6 - Hybrid, "Lower Rate" (3.36\%) (Ambac discounts)

| Series |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Forbearance | 2005 A-2 | Aggregate | PV |
| 2021 | $4,000,000$ | $4,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ | $8,980,000$ |
| 2022 | 280,206 | - | 280,206 | 271,097 |
| 2023 | $2,363,851$ | - | $2,363,851$ | $2,212,662$ |
| 2024 | $2,364,944$ | - | $2,364,944$ | $2,141,724$ |
| 2025 | $2,361,527$ | - | $2,361,527$ | $2,069,106$ |
| 2026 | $2,356,232$ | - | $2,356,232$ | $1,997,356$ |
| 2027 | $2,355,676$ | - | $2,355,676$ | $1,931,971$ |
| 2028 | $2,349,298$ | - | $2,349,298$ | $1,864,106$ |
| 2029 | $2,346,755$ | - | $2,346,755$ | $1,801,556$ |
| $2030^{1}$ | $2,349,155$ | - | $2,349,155$ | $1,744,774$ |
| 2031 | $2,346,703$ | - | $2,346,703$ | $1,686,293$ |
| 2032 | $2,339,675$ | - | $2,339,675$ | $1,626,590$ |
| Total | $27,814,020$ | $4,980,000$ | $32,794,020$ | $28,327,234$ |


|  | Proj 2021 | Proj 2022 | Proj 2023 | Proj 2024 | Proj 2025 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $66,476,063$ | $65,358,263$ | $68,076,053$ | $68,711,161$ | $69,218,608$ |
| Expenses | $71,026,945$ | $71,036,623$ | $66,130,067$ | $67,097,018$ | $68,598,254$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Surplus/Deficit | $(4,550,882)$ | $(5,678,360)$ | $1,945,986$ | $1,614,143$ | 620,354 |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Ambac Repay | $4,000,000$ | - |  |  |  |
| Series 2005A2 Defease | $4,980,000$ |  | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | $8,980,000$ |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beg Fund Balance | $29,531,320$ | $16,000,438$ | $10,322,078$ | $12,268,064$ | $13,882,207$ |
| End Fund Balance | $16,000,438$ | $10,322,078$ | $12,268,064$ | $13,882,207$ | $14,502,561$ |

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes cash outflows of the Ambac forbearance liability and Series 2005A-2 Stadium Bonds

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conservative rate based on feedback from multiple underwriting firms; rate used for multi-year planning purposes; City likely to achieve much lower interest rate
    ${ }^{2}$ Based on taxable A3 scale as of September 2, $2021+100$ basis points
    ${ }^{3}$ PV Discount Rate equal to All-in Cost of Lower Rate scenario
    Other financing assumptions: $\$ 350,000$ cost of issuance; Dated date - March 15, 2022; 2032 maturity to match existing debt

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumes Stadium Bond Debt Service Reserve Fund is released resulting in no Net Debt Service in 2030

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumes Stadium Bond Debt Service Reserve Fund is released resulting in no Net Debt Service in 2030

