Intergovernmental Cooperation Authority for Harrisburg

Minutes of the Governing Board

Regular Meeting

December 18, 2024

Temple University Harrisburg, Strawberry Square, Harrisburg PA

hbgica.org

Members in Attendance	Mr. Doug Hill, Chair	A Quorum Was Recognized
	Ms. Audry Carter	
	Ms. Kathy Speaker MacNett	
	Ms. Elaine Barber	
Ex-Officio Members in Attendance	Mr. Michael Wood,	
	Office of the Budget	
	Mr. Bryan McCutcheon,	
	City of Harrisburg	
In Attendance	Mr. Jeffrey Stonehill	
	Authority Manager	
Meeting Begins		5:02 p.m.
Welcome by Doug Hill, Chair	Mr. Hill opened the meeting.	
Approval of the Minutes	On a motion by Ms. MacNett, Second by	The minutes were
• September 25, 2024	Ms. Barber, the minutes were approved.	approved by a vote of 3-0.
Report by the Chair	Mr. Hill reviewed the budget discussions	
	by City Council. He welcomed Bryan	
	McCutcheon as the City's appointee to	
	the ICA Board. He thanked Mr.	
	McCutcheon for his assistance to the City	
	Council in the budget review process.	
	Mr. Hill reviewed his thoughts on the	
	extension of the LERTA district. He noted	
	that the Mayor established a committee	
	to examine the LERTA rules. Mr. Hill will	
	be on the committee. The committee	
	hopes to deliver a recommendation by	
	the end of 2025 to City Council.	
	Mr. Hill noted that Gloria Martin Roberts	
	is establishing a working group to help	
	with the development of an economic	
	development plan for the City.	
	Mr. Hill thanked the members of the ICA	
	Board for their review of issues	
	associated with the 2025 budget as well	
	as reviewing the letter that was delivered	

	to the City Comments and	
	to the City. Comments and	
	recommendations were delivered before	
	the final approval of the budget.	
Report on City of Harrisburg Financial Activities	Mr. Hill introduced Mr. McCutcheon.	
	Mr. McCutcheon thanked the members	
	of the Board for welcoming him onto the	
	Board. He also appreciated the late	
	starting time for the meeting as there	
	was a call about the ongoing audit	
	process for the City.	
	He distributed handouts for the group.	
	He reviewed the following information:	
	 General Fund summary through November 30th with a cash 	
	position around \$19.5 million.	
	He anticipates a \$17.7 million	
	balance around December 31 st .	
	This is slightly above original	
	estimates.	
	 There was a discussion about 	
	"stop loss" for heavy medical	
	claims. Mr. Stonehill asked about	
	additional medical claims for the	
	year. Mr. Hill asked if it is specific	
	to claims or aggregate to all	
	claims. Mr. McCutcheon said it	
	was on a per claim basis. Mr. Hill	
	asked about disputes. Mr.	
	McCutchen noted that the City	
	just switched "stop loss"	
	insurance carriers.	
	 Mr. Stonehill asked about end of 	
	year major expenses.	
	Mr. McCutcheon discussed the shanges in revenue projections	
	changes in revenue projections	
	since approval of the Five-Year	
	Plan.	
	Mr. McCutcheon noted the	
	interest from the unused ARPA	
	grant funding. Mr. Stonehill	
	asked if the ARPA interest is in	
	the grant fund? Mr. Stonehill	
	asked about the Liquid Fuels	
	funds.	
	Mr. McCutcheon commented on	
	actual year-to-date revenues for	

	I	,
	the General Fund in 2024. Mr.	
	Stonehill asked when General	
	Fund revenue starts to flow in	
	the new year.	
	Mr. McCutcheon reviewed the Council	
	amendments to the approved 2025 budget. There were some changes made	
	by Council before the budget was	
	approved.	
	Mr. McCutcheon pointed out that the	
	2025 budget was unique. It is larger for a	
	number of reasons including ARPA and	
	the RCAP grant for the stadium. It is an	
	extraordinary year. If you back those out,	
	it would be a more typical budget.	
Review of the City of Harrisburg's	Mr. Hill noted that originally the approval	Motion to Submit the
2025 Budget	of the 2025 budget was scheduled for	Correspondence Regarding
<u> </u>	December 19 th , but City Council switched	the 2025 Budget. Ms.
	that to December 17 th and so the ICA	Barber and a second by Ms.
	Board was required to move up delivery	MacNett, approved 4-0.
	of their review letter, which had been	
	done. He pointed that a Board	
	representative or the Authority Manager	
	had monitored and taken notes at each	
	City Council budget hearing. Mr. Hill	
	noted that few departments could	
	respond to the question about true and	
	measurable goals or performance	
	metrics. This was noted in the	
	correspondence.	
	There was a discussion about the over	
	spending in Parks in 2024. Ms. Carter	
	asked about the source of the cash to	
	cover the overage. Ms. MacNett asked	
	about how the overage occurred.	
	Mr. Stonehill read the bullet list of	
	budget observations from the	
	correspondence into the record:	
	 The Authority remains alert to the City's maintenance of fund 	
	balance in both the General Fund	
	and the Neighborhood Services	
	fund. We acknowledge that the	
	City supports and maintains a	
	Fund Balance Policy and we urge	
	i unu balance runcy and we ulge	

	the City to continue to take steps
	to maintain a structurally
	balanced budget across all funds,
	at all times.
2.	The Authority remains concerned
	about the collection rate for aged
	receivables in the Neighborhood
	Services fund. Achieving a rate of
	collection on current receivables
	above 95% as soon as possible
	and a commitment to review and
	adjust fees as necessary for
	sufficiency to meet expenses and
	avoid drawing from reserves is
	needed. Additionally, accounting
	for the revenue/expenditure
	figures individually for the
	partner municipality contracts is
	a welcome improvement; with
	the next steps including an
	ongoing analysis of the balance
	by contract in a way that assures
	rates for our neighboring
	communities are sufficient to
	fund those services in their
	entirety, both individually and
	collectively.
3.	The Authority encourages the
	Administration to prioritize the
	development of a comprehensive
	Economic Development Plan.
	Apart from its importance for the
	vitality and opportunity it affords
	the downtown and our
	neighborhoods, it is an integral
	tool for the City to build long-
	term economic growth in the
	face of future trends including
	concerns about the diminution of
	property tax revenue. It also
	informs the work now underway
	for updates to the zoning
	ordinance and potential updates
	to the comprehensive plan. We
	acknowledge a broad-based work
	group is being put together and
	hope its work is expedited this
	year. Relatedly, we also note the
	one-year extension of the LERTA

r	
	program, and the anticipated
	formation of a work group to
	further refine that
	redevelopment tool.
	4. As previously stated, the
	Authority is interested in the
	formal adoption of an OPEB Trust
	Fund. It is a required step for the
	City's planned exit from Act 47.
	We believe it important in its
	own right as well as necessary to
	satisfy the parallel requirements
	of Act 124 and the Strong Plan.
	5. Unfortunately, the City's
	proposed 2025 budget does not
	adequately demonstrate a
	commitment to performance
	metrics or statistical analysis,
	both of which we believe would
	benefit the City. While some
	departments and bureaus have
	made progress in this respect,
	and some have begun to exploit
	the management tools available
	through the Munis software
	conversion, we think this
	standard should be promoted
	and implemented more
	uniformly and more broadly.
	6. We applaud the efforts to
	develop a comprehensive Capital
	Improvements Plan for the City.
	It is long overdue and its breadth
	may indicate the challenges that
	the City faces in maintenance of
	infrastructure far in excess of
	available resources.
	Additional comment:
	The ICA is following with interest the redevelopment of the Preed
	the redevelopment of the Broad
	Street Market and the
	investments in the FNB Stadium
	project as both may generate a
	local burden on future budgets.
	Ms. MacNett commented on the
	correspondence. Mr. Hill commented on
	the correspondence.

	Ms. Carter noted her lack of support for the continuing combination of the Housing Department and the Economic Development department. Ms. MacNett agreed. Mr. Stonehill reiterated the lack of a comprehensive economic development strategy. Ms. MacNett commented. Mr. Hill asked for a motion to ratify the delivery of the 2025 budget correspondence. With a motion by Ms. Barber and a second by Ms. MacNett, the motion to transmit the correspondence	
Authority Manager's Report	was approved. Mr. Stonehill noted a draft of the final Annual Report for the ICA was in everyone's packets. The document is to be transmitted to the Chairs of the Appropriations Committees on Monday, December 27, 2024.	Motion to authorize the submission of the Annual ICA 2024 Section 207 Report. Ms. MacNett and a second by Ms. Carter, approved 4-0.
Other Business	Mr. Stonehill provided the financial report for December 2024. Mr. McCutcheon asked about the submission of the budget as a revision to	
Public Comments	the Five-Year Plan. Mr. Stonehill responded. Eric Epstein, from Rock the Capitol, brought up a series of points and asked a series of questions.	
	Brian Kimmet, from Rock the Capitol, asked what a normal budget year for expenditures would be and Mr. McCutcheon answer around \$72 million. Mr. Kimmet asked about the FNB Stadium project. Mr. Kimmet asked about the OPEB Trust. Mr. Stonehill referred Mr. Kimmet to the City Solicitor. Ms. MacNett commented.	
	Mr. Hill thanked everyone for a successful 2024 and looked forward to working cooperatively with the City in 2025.	

Adjourn meeting at 6:09 p.m.	Motion by Ms. MacNett.	Approved 4-0.
	A second was not required.	

Respectfully submitted:

Jeffrey Stonehill

Jeffrey M. Stonehill, Authority Manager

Appendix Documents

(ICA)	
N SH	
IGN-IN	

12/18/2024

SIGN-IN SHEE1 (ICA) Required of all attendees for an official record of public participation

		N.										
Phone		age 202	n-you									
Email	+Kiesar Qu. 500	developendenistrage a	breach cone hours burghagou									
Address	Key 5 Done	Tate of Hawidowa		ľ	- 212							
Name (please print)	Truy Kiese	Alexander Paradition	Byynn McLutcheon	the tostan	Brin Kinnet							

Summary of Bills Paid – Intergovernmental Cooperation Authority for Harrisburg

Report – October 1, 2024 to December 18, 2024

Starting balance		\$134,459.54	
10/1/24	Pursuit Co-Working Harrisburg	\$95.00	
10/1/24	Digital Ocean	\$12.72	
10/1/24	Digital Ocean	\$6.87	
10/31/24	Monthly Interest on Checking Account	\$2.28	
11/1/24	Pursuit Co-Working Harrisburg	\$95.00	
11/1/24	Digital Ocean	\$12.72	
11/1/24	Digital Ocean	\$6.87	
11/29/24	Monthly Interest on Checking Account	\$2.13	
12/2/24	Pursuit Co-Working Harrisburg	\$98.15*	
12/2/24	Digital Ocean	\$12.72	
12/2/24	Digital Ocean	\$6.87	
12/3/24	Factory 44 (IT Services)	\$171.00	
12/6/24	PA Media Group (September Ad)	\$146.08	
12/6/24	PA Media Group (December Ad)	\$146.08	
12/13/24	NameCheap Domain Registration	\$15.16	
12/18/24	Johnson Duffie (Aug-Sep-Oct-Nov)	\$2,972.00	
12/18/24	MESH PA 2 (Missing Week 4/29/24)**	\$1,050.00	
12/18/24	Zelenkofske Axelrod (2023-2024 Audit)	\$1,700.00	

Estimated Balance (October 1, 2024)

\$128,011.71

* - Increase rate for virtual office space

** - ICA Audit Determined that Authority Manager was not paid for one week in April 2024



The Intergovernmental Cooperation Authority for Harrisburg 922 N 3rd Street Harrisburg, PA 17102

December 13, 2024

The Honorable Wanda R.D. Williams Mayor, City of Harrisburg Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., Government Center 10 N. Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Dear Mayor Williams:

Pursuant to the Intergovernmental Cooperation Authorities Act for Cities of the Third Class (Act 124 of 2018), the Intergovernmental Cooperation Authority for Harrisburg (the "Authority") is tasked to review and make recommendations concerning the budgetary and fiscal affairs of the City of Harrisburg.

The Authority received and reviewed the proposed 2025 budget and additional information provided by the City for conformance with the criteria set forth in Act 124. Additionally we have observed the City Council's budget hearings and workshops. Three broad observations follow:

- The proposed 2025 budget includes no substantial changes beyond those provided in the City's Five-Year Financial Plan, as approved by the Authority on September 9, 2024. Please note that pursuant to Act 124, after the budget is adopted, the City may submit the enacted budget to the Authority as a proposed revision to the Plan.
- The proposed 2025 budget includes the maintenance of a cash fund balance in the General Fund of approximately \$17 million, by the end of 2025. The City should remain vigilant that the cash balances in the General Fund remain sufficient to fund future obligations.
- Specific concerns impacting future revenue for the City, including diminishing real estate tax revenue from reassessment of properties, the continuing lack of revenue from the parking scheme implemented by the Strong Plan, and the precarious nature of the local economy in the City, are not sufficiently addressed in the proposed 2025 budget.

As we move forward into 2025 and in summary:

• The Authority remains alert to the City's maintenance of fund balance in both the General Fund and the Neighborhood Services fund. We acknowledge that the City supports and maintains a Fund Balance Policy and we urge the City to continue to take steps to maintain a structurally balanced budget across all funds, at all times.

- The Authority remains concerned about the collection rate for aged receivables in the Neighborhood Services fund. Achieving a rate of collection on current receivables above 95% as soon as possible and a commitment to review and adjust fees as necessary for sufficiency to meet expenses and avoid drawing from reserves is needed. Additionally, accounting for the revenue/expenditure figures individually for the partner municipality contracts is a welcome improvement; with the next steps including an ongoing analysis of the balance by contract in a way that assures rates for our neighboring communities are sufficient to fund those services in their entirety, both individually and collectively.
- The Authority encourages the Administration to prioritize the development of a comprehensive Economic Development Plan. Apart from its importance for the vitality and opportunity it affords the downtown and our neighborhoods, it is an integral tool for the City to build long-term economic growth in the face of future trends including concerns about the diminution of property tax revenue. It also informs the work now underway for updates to the zoning ordinance and potential updates to the comprehensive plan. We acknowledge a broad-based work group is being put together and hope its work is expedited this year. Relatedly, we also note the one-year extension of the LERTA program, and the anticipated formation of a work group to further refine that redevelopment tool.
- As previously stated, the Authority is interested in the formal adoption of an OPEB Trust Fund. It is a required step for the City's planned exit from Act 47. We believe it important in its own right as well as necessary to satisfy the parallel requirements of Act 124 and the Strong Plan.
- Unfortunately, the City's proposed 2025 budget does not adequately demonstrate a commitment to performance metrics or statistical analysis, both of which we believe would benefit the City. While some departments and bureaus have made progress in this respect, and some have begun to exploit the management tools available through the Munis software conversion, we think this standard should be promoted and implemented more uniformly and more broadly.
- We applaud the efforts to develop a comprehensive Capital Improvements Plan for the City. It is long overdue and its breadth may indicate the challenges that the City faces in maintenance of infrastructure far in excess of available resources.

Finally, the ICA is following with interest the redevelopment of the Broad Street Market and the investments in the FNB Stadium project as both may generate a local burden on future budgets.

As a whole, the City's proposed 2025 budget meets the Authority's expectations for the proper financial management of the City of Harrisburg. We congratulate you and your Finance Department team on your efforts to build a foundation of stability for the City's financial future.

We look forward to working with you as we move forward in collaboration for the benefit of the citizens of Harrisburg.

Sincerely Douglas Hill

Chair

cc: Authority Members Honorable Danielle Bowers Honorable Ausha Green Honorable Charles DeBrunner Honorable Dan Miller

CITY OF HARRISBURG GENERAL FUND SUMMARY - REVENUE, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGE IN CASH FUND BALANCE For the Month ended November 30, 2024

DESCRIPTION	QTD Three Months ended <u>03/31/24</u>	QTD Three Months ended <u>06/30/24</u>	QTD Three Months ended <u>09/30/24</u>	Month ended <u>10/31/24</u>	Month ended <u>11/30/24</u>	YTD Eleven Months ended <u>11/30/24</u>
Beginning cash basis fund balance	19,643,269	25,370,167	24,014,893	26,791,174	19,758,591	19,643,269
<u>Revenue</u> Total revenue	24,328,074	41,223,903	19,879,388	3,601,630	9,949,370	98,982,365
Expenditures General Government Administration Building and Housing Public Safety Public Works Parks and Recreation Total expenditures	711,732 7,038,012 113,720 8,402,287 2,074,473 260,952 18,601,176	578,049 33,161,207 97,696 6,811,312 1,444,633 486,280 42,579,177	634,048 5,530,517 116,011 7,516,447 2,541,714 764,370 17,103,107	185,705 3,352,682 35,303 6,131,867 628,937 299,719 10,634,213	174,648 7,318,936 27,018 1,818,779 735,846 82,288 10,157,515	2,284,182 56,401,354 389,748 30,680,692 7,425,603 1,893,609 99,075,188
Net Revenue Over (Under) Expenditures	5,726,898	(1,355,274)	2,776,281	(7,032,583)	(208,145)	(92,823)
Ending cash basis fund balance	25,370,167	24,014,893	26,791,174	19,758,591	19,550,446	19,550,446

COH ICA Report - 5-year Plan Summary 2023 thru 2028 - Key Operating Revenues for the General Fund (adjusted projections)

<u>DESCRIPTION</u> Real Estate Tax	2023 <u>Actual</u> 17,028,267	2024 <u>Projection</u> 16,946,784	2025 <u>Projection</u> 16,523,114	2025 Approved <u>Budget</u> 16,691,214	Change from 2025 Projection 168,100
Real Estate Transfer Tax	990,262	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	-
Hotel Tax	714,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	-
Local Service Tax	6,638,483	6,800,000	6,834,000	6,834,000	-
Earned Income Tax	17,521,542	18,750,000	18,843,750	18,843,750	-
Mercantile/Business Privilege Tax	8,369,638	8,322,019	8,405,239	8,405,239	-
Department of Administration	419,016	451,634	460,667	460,667	-
Department of Building & Housing	2,084,111	2,100,000	1,900,000	1,900,000	-
Department of Public Safety	1,785,059	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	-
Department of Public Works	246,069	630,755	649,677	649,677	-
Department of Parks & Recreation	8,620	17,500	18,025	18,025	-
Fines & Forfeits	803,072	825,000	841,500	841,500	~
Licenses & Permits	431,117	450,000	459,000	400,000	(59,000)
Interest income	964,631	900,000	750,000	750,000	-
Rental Revenue	625,938	90,000	92,700	92,700	-
Miscellaneous Revenue	8,028,622	3,400,000	7,590,000	7,590,000	-
Other Financing Sources	173,204	100,000	50,000	50,000	-
Intergovernmental Revenue	8,768,538	8,770,000	8,844,500	9,174,793	330,293
Interfund Transfers	1,245,978	32,135,224	6,000,000	10,335,586	4,335,586
Fund Balance Appropriation	3,502,235	7,312,889	-	-	-
Totals	80,348,402	112,001,804	82,262,172	87,037,151	4,774,979